



**RESPONSE TO THE OBSERVATIONS AND COMMENTS OF
THE CCM ARTICLE 4 ANALYSIS GROUP ON THE
EXTENSION REQUEST SUBMITTED BY CHILE IN
ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 4.5 OF THE CONVENTION**

Santiago, may 9th 2022.



Response to the Observations and Comments of the CCM Article 4 Analysis Group on the Extension Request submitted by Chile in accordance with Article 4.5 of the Convention

N°	Observation	Response
1	<p>The inclusion of a detailed work plan for the extension period which would include:</p> <p>(a) Clear year-by-year clearance targets for easy monitoring over the requested extension period indicating the allocation of assets (including finances) per year;</p> <p>(b) Expected clearance rate on a monthly/quarterly basis and the methods to be used; and</p> <p>(c) Activities to be undertaken besides survey and clearance to justify the 3-year extension period requested.</p>	<p>Paragraph C N°12 of the Extension Request. Pages 15 to 21.</p>
2	<p>The Request would benefit from the provision of additional information on Chile's resource mobilization plan, in particular:</p> <p>(a) Which international partners and States Parties Chile identified to be in a position to provide assistance to its cluster munitions clearance activities;</p> <p>(b) Include information on how Chile intends to engage with the abovementioned partners and States;</p> <p>(c) Further to the indication given by Chile that the COVID-19 pandemic may continue to impede its ability to allocate national resources to cluster munitions clearance, that it provides information on what it is doing to ensure that it is well prepared to overcome any potential lack of necessary resources.</p>	<p>Paragraph C N°5 of the Extension Request. Page 8.</p>
3	<p>Provide additional information with regard to the amount of USD 818,954.85 mentioned as needed for Chile to replace or maintain its demining equipment. For instance, is this amount included in its 3-year budget of USD 1,923,312 or is it in addition to that figure?</p>	<p>Paragraph C N°10 of the Extension Request. Pages 11 to 14. The USD 818,954.85 is not included in the 3-year budget of USD 1,923,312.</p>

N°	Observation	Response
4	Chile informs that it plans to standardize the clearance and certification procedures in the first semester of 2023. Would these standards be based on the current International Mine Action Standards (IMAS)? For instance, in its Request, Chile refers to IMAS 09.20 relating to inspection of cleared land which has been withdrawn and replaced with IMAS 07.40 which addresses the issue of monitoring of product performance for mine action in general and provides specific guidance on actions to check the quality of cleared land.	Paragraph C N° 12 of the Extension Request. Pages 15 to 16.
5	Chile informs of its intention to develop educational campaigns on risk education in its Request. The document would be strengthened with the inclusion of - (a) The type of activities Chile plans to carry out and which organizations will be conducting the activities; (b) Information on an assessment of needs and vulnerability - such as on gender, age and disability - having been conducted to identify the targeted communities or groups to provide risk education to.	Paragraph C N° 7 of the Extension Request. Page 9.
6	Gender and diversity of populations are mainstreamed in the Lausanne Action Plan that was adopted by States Parties at the Second Review Conference (2RC). In this regard, how does Chile intend to ensure that the gender and diversity of populations is considered and integrated into all the appropriate activities related to survey and clearance of cluster munitions remnants?	Paragraph C N° 14 of the Extension Request. Pages 21 to 22.
7	Need to correct the figures provided in the Table on Page 7 for consistency. Specifically, the inconsistency in the table seems to indicate that the size of the surface treated in TS is bigger than the surface identified as CHA and SHA through the NTS.	Paragraph C N° 8 of the Extension Request. Pages 9 to 10.



Response to Article 4 Analysing Group Extension Request Checklist

N°	Observation	Response
5	Quantity and type of cluster munitions destroyed.	Paragraph B N°7 of the Extension Request. Page 5.
9	National laws and standards in place? Info on national demining structure.	Paragraph B N° 2; Paragraph C N° 3 and 14 of the Extension Request. Pages 2 to 4; page 7 & page 21.
10	Methodologies utilized are in compliance with international standards including IMAS in accordance with Article 4.3	Paragraph C N° 12 of the Extension Request. Pages 15 to 21.
11	Annual projections of CMR contaminated areas to be addressed and by what method (NTS, TS, clearance), in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 6(b)	Paragraph C N° 12 of the Extension Request. Pages 15 to 21.
12	Methods to be used to render CMR contaminated areas no longer dangerous, in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 6(b)	Paragraph C N° 12 of the Extension Request. Pages 15 to 21.
13	Financial, technical, materiel, personnel needs per year.	Paragraph C N° 10 of the Extension Request. Pages 11 to 14.
15	Assistance needs incl. financial resources required, in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 6(b)	Paragraph C N° 10 of the Extension Request. Pages 11 to 14.
16	Resource mobilization plan	Paragraph C N° 5 of the Extension Request. Page 8.